US. BARMAUPTCY COCAT FLED CAMCEA, RU

10 MAR - 1 PM 3: 11

JAMES S. WALDHON

SHERMAN, SILVERSTEIN, KOHL, ROSE & PODOLSKY, P.A. HY:

A Professional Corporation

Jeffrey P. Resnick, Esquire By:

4300 Haddonfield Road

Pennsauken, New Jersey 08109

Telephone: (856) 662-0700 Facsimile: (856) 488-4744

Attorneys for Defendant Charter Oak FCU/E B

In Re: Anthony T. and Kimberly A.

Walters,

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Debtors

CHAPTER 13

CASE NO.: 09-41707 GMB

Anthony T. Walters,

Plaintiff/Debtor

: ADV. PROC. NO.: 10-1003

and

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS'

ADVERSARY COMPLAINT

Michael E. Walters,

Plaintiff,

v.

Charter Oak FCU/E B,

Defendant.

Defendant, by and through its undersigned counsel, by way of response to plaintiffs' Adversary Complaint, hereby states as follows:

Denied that plaintiffs are entitled to the relief they seek and that defendant 1. engaged in "willful and negligent actions that constitute invasion of the Plaintiff's privacy."

Case 10-01003-GMB Doc 3 Filed 03/01/10 Entered 03/02/10 08:23:32 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 6

Document 1 ago 2 of o			
2.	Admitted.		
3.	Denied.		
4.	Admitted.		
5.	Admitted based on plaintiff's representations.		
6.	Denied.		
7.	Admitted.		
8.	Admitted.		
9.	Admitted based on plaintiff's representations.		
10.	Admitted based on plaintiff's representations.		
11.	Admitted.		
12.	Admitted.		
13.	Denied that there was not "proper redaction" set forth on the pages referenced by		
plaintiffs in	this allegation.		
14.	Denied as stated.		
15.	Denied as stated.		
16.	Denied.		
17.	Denied as stated.		
18.	Admitted.		
19.	Denied.		

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Denied that these citations are applicable to this matter.

- 21. Defendant incorporates the above responses as if set forth at length herein.
- 22. Denied.

20.

- 23. Denied.
- 24. Denied.
- 25. Denied.

WHEREFORE, defendant demands that plaintiffs' adversary complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that defendant be awarded attorneys fees, costs, and such other relief as this court deems equitable and just.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 26. Defendant incorporates the above responses as if set forth at length herein.
- 27. Denied.
- 28. Denied that this citation is relevant to this matter.
- 29. Denied.

WHEREFORE, defendant demands that plaintiffs' adversary complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that defendant be awarded attorneys fees, costs, and such other relief as this court deems equitable and just.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 30. Defendant incorporates the above responses as if set forth at length herein.
- 31. Denied that this citation is relevant to this matter.
- 32. Denied that this citation is relevant to this matter.
- 33. Denied.
- 34. Denied as stated.

WHEREFORE, defendant demands that plaintiffs' adversary complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that defendant be awarded attorneys fees, costs, and such other relief as this court deems equitable and just.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 35. Defendant incorporates the above responses as if set forth at length herein.
- 36. Denied that this citation is relevant to this matter.
- 37. Denied that this citation is relevant to this matter.
- 38. Admitted.
- 39. Denied as stated.
- 40. Denied.
- 41. Denied as stated.
- 42. Denied.

WHEREFORE, defendant demands that plaintiffs' adversary complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that defendant be awarded attorneys fees, costs, and such other relief as this court deems equitable and just.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 43. Defendant incorporates the above responses as if set forth at length herein.
- 44. Denied.
- 45. Denied.
- 46. Denied.
- 47. Denied.
- 48. Denied.
- 49. Denied.

WHEREFORE, defendant demands that plaintiffs' adversary complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that defendant be awarded attorneys fees, costs, and such other relief as this court deems equitable and just.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Plaintiffs' complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. Plaintiffs are barred from relief because of the doctrine of laches.
- 3. Plaintiffs are barred from relief because of the doctrine of unclean hands.
- 4. Plaintiffs have not sustained any damages

Date:

By:	SHERMAN, SILVERSTEIN, KOHL, ROSE & PODOLSKY, P.A. Jeffrey P. Resnick, Esquire Attorneys for Defendant
	Attorneys for Defendant

Case 10-01003-GMB Doc 3 Filed 03/01/10 Entered 03/02/10 08:23:32 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 6

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

10 MAR - 1 PM 3: 11

US. BANERUPICY COURT FR FD CAMPEN, NJ

I, Jeffrey P. Resnick, Esquire, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the within Defendant's Answer to Plaintiffs' Adversary Complaint and Certificate of Service was served on the following via United States First Class mail:

Lee M. Perlman, Esquire
Lee M. Perlman Attorney at Law
1926 Greentree Road, Suite 100
Cherry Hill, NJ 08003
Attorneys for Debtors
Anthony T. and Kimberly A. Walters and
Michael E. Walters

SHERMAN, SILVERSTEIN, KOHL, ROSE & PODOLSKY, P.A.

DATE: March 1, 2010

Jeffrey P. Kesnick, Esquire Attorneys for Defendant Charter Oak FCU/E B